	Case 4:07-cv-03993-CW	Document 23-2	Filed 05/28/2008	Page 1 of 2	
1	WILM D. L. (C.L.D.	N 171716			
1	Kelly M. Dermody (Cal. Bar No. 171716)  Jahan C. Sagafi (Cal. Bar No. 224887)				
2	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP				
3	275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339				
4 5	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 E-Mail: kdermody@lchb.com E-Mail: jsagafi@lchb.com  Richard C. Haber (Ohio Bar No. 0046788) Laura L. Volpini (Ohio Bar No. 0075505) HABER POLK LLP Eaton Center, Suite 620				
6					
7					
8					
9	1111 Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114				
10	Telephone: (216) 241-0700 Facsimile: (216) 241-0739 E-Mail: rhaber@haberpolk.com E-Mail: lvolpini@haberpolk.com				
11					
12	Attorneys for Movants Martin Lewis and Aaron Cooper				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO / OAKLAND DIVISION				
15	MONTE RUSSELL, on beha and others similarly situated,		Case No. 07-3993 CW		
16	Plaintiff,		DECLARATION OF	JAHAN C. SAGAFI	
17	v.		IN SUPPORT OF ALL MOTION TO CONS		
18	WELLS FARGO & CO.,		CASES SHOULD BE PURSUANT TO LO		
19	Defendan	t.	The Honorable Claudia	a Wilken	
20					
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	MARTIN LEWIS and AARO on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated,		Case No. 08-2670 JCS		
23	Plaintiffs,				
24	V.				
25	WELLS FARGO & CO.,				
26	Defendan	t.			
27					
28					
	765203.1	- 1 -		C. SAGAFI ISO ADMIN. MOT. TO ER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED	

1	I, Jahan C. Sagafi, declare as follows:			
2	1. I am a partner with the law firm of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein			
3	LLP in San Francisco, California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am counsel for			
4	Movants Martin Lewis and Aaron Cooper ("Movants"), who are plaintiffs in Lewis v. Wells			
5	Fargo & Co., Case No. 08-2670 JCS (N.D. Cal.) ("Lewis"). I submit this Declaration in suppor			
6	of Movant's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related.			
7	2. On August 6, 2007, Plaintiff Monte Russell filed a complaint in the			
8	Northern District of California alleging that Wells Fargo has misclassified several hundred			
9	technical support workers, including those with the title of PC/LAN Engineers, as exempt from			
10	the overtime pay requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). This case is styled			
11	Russell v. Wells Fargo & Co., Case No. 07-3993 CW (N.D. Cal.) ("Russell").			
12	3. On May 28, 2008, Movants filed the <i>Lewis</i> complaint in the Northern			
13	District of California alleging that Wells Fargo has misclassified several hundred technical			
14	support workers, excluding those with the title of PC/LAN Engineers, as exempt from the			
15	overtime pay requirements of the FLSA. Movants are in the process of serving Wells Fargo with			
16	the complaint, summons, and accompanying documents.			
17	4. <i>Russell</i> is the lowest numbered complaint in the Northern District of			
18	California making allegations of this nature of which Movants are aware.			
19	5. Civil Local Rule 3-12 requires that an Administrative Motion to Consider			
20	Whether Cases Should be Related be promptly filed. Accordingly, and as Wells Fargo is in the			
21	process of being served with the Lewis complaint, a stipulation could not be obtained prior to			
22	filing Movants' Administrative Motion.			
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the			
24	foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of May, 2008 at New York, New York.			
25	/s/ Jahan C. Sagafi			
26	Jahan C. Sagafi			
27				

- 2 -

DEC. OF JAHAN C. SAGAFI ISO ADMIN. MOT. TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED CASE NO. 07-3993 CW